IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

KENNETH MASON and JULIA WATKINS,))
Plaintiffs,))
v.)))
LONG BEACH MORTGAGE COMPANY and DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST)
COMPANY,	
Defendants.)))

LONG BEACH'S 12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Washington Mutual Bank, f/k/a Long Beach Mortgage Company ("Long Beach"), moves this Court to dismiss, with prejudice, both claims asserted in this case by Kenneth Mason and Julia Watkins ("Plaintiffs"). In support of its motion, Long Beach states as follows:

- 1. The claims asserted by Plaintiffs in this case arise from a mortgage loan that Plaintiffs obtained from Long Beach in September 2005. (Cmplt. ¶ 8). Plaintiffs claim that Long Beach provided Plaintiffs incomplete copies of the Notice of Right to Cancel. (*Id.* ¶ 11). Based on this allegation, Plaintiffs assert a rescission claim under the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA"), which is Count I of their Complaint. (*Id.* ¶¶ 16-22).
- 2. In addition to this rescission claim, Plaintiffs also assert a 1-4 Family Rider Claim (Count II), which alleges that the rider executed as part of their mortgage loan created a security interest in their personal property that should have been disclosed under TILA. (*Id.* ¶¶ 23-28). Plaintiffs expressly acknowledge that their 1-4 Family Rider claim would put them within a

pending putative class action, captioned *Navara v. Long Beach Mortgage Company, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Northern District of Illinois, No. 05 C 00864 (*id.* ¶ 25), brought by the same law firm representing Plaintiffs in this case. In this proceeding, Plaintiffs seek to exclude themselves from the putative *Navara* class claim. (*Id.*).

- 3. Plaintiffs' 1-4 Family Rider claim (Count II) should be dismissed as that claim is time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations. By seeking to exclude themselves from the *Navara* action prior to a ruling on class certification, Plaintiffs are not entitled to the benefit of any tolling principles. Moreover, even if the Court were to determine that Plaintiffs timely asserted their 1-4 Family Rider claim, the Court should still dismiss that claim as Long Beach was not required to disclose the incidental interests set forth in the rider.
- 4. The rescission claim asserted by Plaintiffs should be dismissed because Plaintiffs signed properly dated copies of the Notice of Right to Cancel for their mortgage loan and thus they do not have the right to rescind that loan.
- 5. The reasons in support of Long Beach's motion are set forth more fully in the accompanying memorandum in support.

WHEREFORE, Long Beach respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion and dismiss, with prejudice, all claims asserted by Plaintiffs in this proceeding.

Dated: June 4, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK f/k/a LONG BEACH MORTGAGE COMPANY

/s/ Lisa M. Arent

Nathan A. Fishbach Kenneth R. Nowakowski Lisa M. Arent WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C. 555 East Wells Street, Suite 1900 Milwaukee, WI 53202

Telephone: (414) 273-2100 Fax: (414) 223-5000

Email: nfishbach@whdlaw.com knowakowski@whdlaw.com larent@whdlaw.com

CO-COUNSEL:

Scott T. Schutte HOWREY LLP 321 N. Clark Street, Suite 3400 Chicago, IL 60610 Telephone: (312) 846-5641

Telephone: (312) 846-5641 Fax: (312) 264-0368

Email: schuttes@howrey.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa M. Arent, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Long Beach's 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss has been served on all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF case management system this 4th day of June, 2007.

/s/ Lisa M. Arent Lisa M. Arent